

Canonical Form and Separability of PPT States in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^M \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ Composite Quantum Systems

Xiao-Hong Wang¹, Shao-Ming Fei^{1, 2}, Zhi-Xi Wang¹, and Ke Wu¹

¹ *Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China.*

² *Institute of Applied Mathematics, University of Bonn, 53115 Bonn, Germany*

Abstract

We investigate the canonical forms of positive partial transposition (PPT) density matrices in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^M \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ composite quantum systems with rank N . A general expression for these PPT states are explicitly obtained. From this canonical form a sufficient separability condition is presented.

Key words: Separability, Quantum entanglement

PACS number(s): 03.67.Hk, 03.65.Ta, 89.70.+c

Quantum entangled states have become one of the key resources in the rapidly expanding field of quantum information processing and computation [1, 2, 3, 4]. Nevertheless, the study of physical character and mathematical structure of the quantum entanglement is far from being satisfied. One even does not have a general criterion to judge if a quantum (mixed) state is entangled or not. For bipartite states a number of entanglement measures such as entanglement of formation and distillation [5, 6], negativity [7], von Neumann entropy and relative entropy [5, 8] have been proposed. However most proposed measures of entanglement involve extremizations which are difficult to handle analytically. For instance, explicit analytic formulae for entanglement of formation [9] have been found only for a pair of qubits system [10], and for some symmetric states [11] and a class of special states [12]. For multipartite systems there is no well defined measure of entanglement yet.

The separability problem for pure states is quite well understood [13]. Nevertheless, in real conditions, due to the interactions with environment, one encounters mixed states rather than pure ones. The manifestations of mixed-state entanglement can be very subtle [14]. The Bell inequalities satisfied by a separable system give the first necessary condition for separability [15]. Afterwards the Peres criterion [7] says that partial transpositions with respect to one or more subsystems of a separable state ρ are positive. This criterion was further shown to be also sufficient for bipartite systems in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^2$ and $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^3$ [16]. The reduction criterion proposed independently in [17] and [18] gives another necessary criterion which is equivalent to the Peres criterion for $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ composite systems but is generally weaker. There are many other necessary

criteria such as majorization [19], entanglement witnesses [16, 20], extension of Peres criterion [21], matrix realignment [22], generalized partial transposition criterion (GPT) [23], generalized reduced criterion [24]. For low rank density matrices there are also some necessary and sufficient criteria of separability [25].

The separability and entanglement in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ and $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^3 \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ composite quantum systems have been studied in terms of matrix analysis on tensor spaces [26]. It is shown that all such quantum states ρ with positive partial transpositions and rank $r(\rho) \leq N$ are separable. The canonical form and a sufficient separable condition PPT states in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ with rank N are given in [27]. In this article we extend the results in [26] to the case of composite quantum systems in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^M \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$. We give a canonical form of positive partial transposition (PPT) states in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^M \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ with rank N and present a sufficient separability criterion.

A separable state in $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^M \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$ is of the form:

$$\rho_{ABC} = \sum_i p_i \rho_A^i \otimes \rho_B^i \otimes \rho_C^i, \quad (1)$$

where $\sum_i p_i = 1$, $0 < p_i \leq 1$, ρ_α^i are density matrices associated with the subsystems α , $\alpha = A, B, C$. In the following we denote by $R(\rho)$, $K(\rho)$, $r(\rho)$ and $k(\rho)$ the range, kernel, rank, dimension of the kernel of ρ , respectively.

We first derive a canonical form of PPT states in $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$ with rank N , which allows for an explicit decomposition of a given state in terms of convex sum of projectors on product vectors. Let $|0_A\rangle, |1_A\rangle; |0_B\rangle, |1_B\rangle, |2_B\rangle, |3_B\rangle$ and $|0_C\rangle, \dots, |N-1_C\rangle$ be some local bases of the sub-systems A, B and C respectively.

Lemma 1. Every PPT state ρ in $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$ such that $r(\langle 1_A, 3_B | \rho | 1_A, 3_B \rangle) = r(\rho) = N$, can be transformed into the following canonical form by using a reversible local operation:

$$\rho = \sqrt{F} [DC \ DB \ DA \ D \ C \ B \ A \ I]^\dagger [DC \ DB \ DA \ D \ C \ B \ A \ I] \sqrt{F}, \quad (2)$$

where A, B, C, D, F and the identity I are $N \times N$ matrices acting on $\mathcal{C}_C^N$ and satisfy the following relations: $[A, A^\dagger] = [B, B^\dagger] = [C, C^\dagger] = [D, D^\dagger] = [B, A] = [B, A^\dagger] = [C, A] = [C, A^\dagger] = [D, A] = [D, A^\dagger] = [C, B] = [C, B^\dagger] = [D, B] = [D, B^\dagger] = [D, C] = [D, C^\dagger] = 0$ and $F = F^\dagger$ ($\dagger$ stands for the transposition and conjugate).

Proof. In the considered basis a density matrix ρ can be always written as:

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_{12} & E_{13} & E_{14} & E_{15} & E_{16} & E_{17} & E_{18} \\ E_{12}^\dagger & E_2 & E_{23} & E_{24} & E_{25} & E_{26} & E_{27} & E_{28} \\ E_{13}^\dagger & E_{23}^\dagger & E_3 & E_{34} & E_{35} & E_{36} & E_{37} & E_{38} \\ E_{14}^\dagger & E_{24}^\dagger & E_{34}^\dagger & E_4 & E_{45} & E_{46} & E_{47} & E_{48} \\ E_{15}^\dagger & E_{25}^\dagger & E_{35}^\dagger & E_{45}^\dagger & E_5 & E_{56} & E_{57} & E_{58} \\ E_{16}^\dagger & E_{26}^\dagger & E_{36}^\dagger & E_{46}^\dagger & E_{56}^\dagger & E_6 & E_{67} & E_{68} \\ E_{17}^\dagger & E_{27}^\dagger & E_{37}^\dagger & E_{47}^\dagger & E_{57}^\dagger & E_{67}^\dagger & E_7 & E_{78} \\ E_{18}^\dagger & E_{28}^\dagger & E_{38}^\dagger & E_{48}^\dagger & E_{58}^\dagger & E_{68}^\dagger & E_{78}^\dagger & E_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where E'_s are $N \times N$ matrices, $r(E_8) = N$. After the projection $\tilde{\rho} = \langle 1_A | \rho | 1_A \rangle$, we obtain

$$\tilde{\rho} = \langle 1_A | \rho | 1_A \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} E_5 & E_{56} & E_{57} & E_{58} \\ E_{56}^\dagger & E_6 & E_{67} & E_{68} \\ E_{57}^\dagger & E_{67}^\dagger & E_7 & E_{78} \\ E_{58}^\dagger & E_{68}^\dagger & E_{78}^\dagger & E_8 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

$\tilde{\rho}$ is now a state in $\mathcal{C}_B^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$ with $r(\tilde{\rho}) = r(\rho) = N$. As every principal minor determinant of $\tilde{\rho}^{t_B}$ ($\tilde{\rho}^{t_C}$) is some principal minor determinant of ρ , the fact that ρ is PPT implies that $\tilde{\rho}$ is also PPT, $\tilde{\rho} \geq 0$. After performing a reversible local non-unitary “filtering” $\frac{1}{\sqrt{E_8}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_C^N$ and using the Lemma 5 in [25] we can express the matrix $\tilde{\rho}$ as

$$\tilde{\rho} = \begin{pmatrix} C^\dagger C & C^\dagger B & C^\dagger A & C^\dagger \\ B^\dagger C & B^\dagger B & B^\dagger A & B^\dagger \\ A^\dagger C & A^\dagger B & A^\dagger A & A^\dagger \\ C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where $[A, A^\dagger] = [B, B^\dagger] = [C, C^\dagger] = [B, A] = [C, A] = [C, B] = [B, A^\dagger] = [C, A^\dagger] = [C, B^\dagger] = 0$.

Similarly, if we consider the projection $\langle 3_B | \rho | 3_B \rangle$, for the same reasons as above we conclude that the resulting matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\rho} &= \langle 3_B | \rho | 3_B \rangle \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} E_4 & E_{48} \\ E_{48}^\dagger & E_8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D^\dagger D & D^\dagger \\ D & I \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where $[D, D^\dagger] = 0$.

Hence, after performing the local filtering operation $\frac{1}{\sqrt{E_8}}$, the matrix ρ now has the form:

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_{12} & E_{13} & E_{14} & E_{15} & E_{16} & E_{17} & E_{18} \\ E_{12}^\dagger & E_2 & E_{23} & E_{24} & E_{25} & E_{26} & E_{27} & E_{28} \\ E_{13}^\dagger & E_{23}^\dagger & E_3 & E_{34} & E_{35} & E_{36} & E_{37} & E_{38} \\ E_{14}^\dagger & E_{24}^\dagger & E_{34}^\dagger & D^\dagger D & E_{45} & E_{46} & E_{47} & D^\dagger \\ E_{15}^\dagger & E_{25}^\dagger & E_{35}^\dagger & E_{45}^\dagger & C^\dagger C & C^\dagger B & C^\dagger A & C^\dagger \\ E_{16}^\dagger & E_{26}^\dagger & E_{36}^\dagger & E_{46}^\dagger & B^\dagger C & B^\dagger B & B^\dagger A & B^\dagger \\ E_{17}^\dagger & E_{27}^\dagger & E_{37}^\dagger & E_{47}^\dagger & A^\dagger C & A^\dagger B & A^\dagger A & A^\dagger \\ E_{18}^\dagger & E_{28}^\dagger & E_{38}^\dagger & D & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Owing to that $\rho \geq 0$, a vector $|v\rangle$ in $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^M \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$ satisfying $\langle v|\rho|v\rangle = 0$ is in the kernel of ρ . It is directly verified that the following vectors are in the kernel:

$$\begin{aligned} |10\rangle|f\rangle - |13\rangle C|f\rangle, \quad |11\rangle|g\rangle - |13\rangle B|g\rangle, \\ |12\rangle|h\rangle - |13\rangle A|h\rangle, \quad |03\rangle|k\rangle - |13\rangle D|k\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

for all $|f\rangle, |g\rangle, |h\rangle, |k\rangle \in \mathcal{C}_C^N$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} E_{45} &= D^\dagger C, & E_{46} &= D^\dagger B, & E_{47} &= D^\dagger A, \\ E_{15} &= E_{18}C, & E_{25} &= E_{28}C, & E_{35} &= E_{38}C, \\ E_{16} &= E_{18}B, & E_{26} &= E_{28}B, & E_{36} &= E_{38}B, \\ E_{17} &= E_{18}A, & E_{27} &= E_{28}A, & E_{37} &= E_{38}A, \\ E_{14} &= E_{18}D, & E_{24} &= E_{28}D, & E_{34} &= E_{38}D. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Substituting (8) into (6) and taking a partial transposition of ρ with respect to the sub-system A, we have

$$\rho^{t_A} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_{12} & E_{13} & E_{18}D & C^\dagger E_{18}^\dagger & C^\dagger E_{28}^\dagger & C^\dagger E_{38}^\dagger & C^\dagger D \\ E_{12}^\dagger & E_2 & E_{23} & E_{28}D & B^\dagger E_{18}^\dagger & B^\dagger E_{28}^\dagger & B^\dagger E_{38}^\dagger & B^\dagger D \\ E_{13}^\dagger & E_{23}^\dagger & E_3 & E_{38}D & A^\dagger E_{18}^\dagger & A^\dagger E_{28}^\dagger & A^\dagger E_{38}^\dagger & A^\dagger D \\ D^\dagger E_{18}^\dagger & D^\dagger E_{28}^\dagger & D^\dagger E_{38}^\dagger & D^\dagger D & E_{18}^\dagger & E_{28}^\dagger & E_{38}^\dagger & D \\ E_{18}C & E_{18}B & E_{18}A & E_{18} & C^\dagger C & C^\dagger B & C^\dagger A & C^\dagger \\ E_{28}C & E_{28}B & E_{28}A & E_{28} & B^\dagger C & B^\dagger B & B^\dagger A & B^\dagger \\ E_{38}C & E_{38}B & E_{38}A & E_{38} & A^\dagger C & A^\dagger B & A^\dagger A & A^\dagger \\ D^\dagger C & D^\dagger B & D^\dagger A & D^\dagger & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Since the partial transposition with respect to the sub-system A is positive, $\rho^{t_A} \geq 0$, and it does not change $\langle 1_A|\rho|1_A\rangle$, we still have $|10\rangle|f\rangle - |13\rangle C|f\rangle \in k(\rho^{t_A})$. This gives rise to the following equalities: $E_{18}^\dagger = DC$, $E_{28}^\dagger = DB$, $E_{38}^\dagger = DA$, $E_{18} = C^\dagger D^\dagger$, $E_{28} = B^\dagger D^\dagger$, $E_{38} = A^\dagger D^\dagger$. ρ is then of the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_{12} & E_{13} & C^\dagger D^\dagger D & C^\dagger D^\dagger C & C^\dagger D^\dagger B & C^\dagger D^\dagger A & C^\dagger D^\dagger \\ E_{12}^\dagger & E_2 & E_{23} & B^\dagger D^\dagger D & B^\dagger D^\dagger C & B^\dagger D^\dagger B & B^\dagger D^\dagger A & B^\dagger D^\dagger \\ E_{13}^\dagger & E_{23}^\dagger & E_3 & A^\dagger D^\dagger D & A^\dagger D^\dagger C & A^\dagger D^\dagger B & A^\dagger D^\dagger A & A^\dagger D^\dagger \\ D^\dagger DC & D^\dagger DB & D^\dagger DA & D^\dagger D & D^\dagger C & D^\dagger B & D^\dagger A & D^\dagger \\ C^\dagger DC & C^\dagger DB & C^\dagger DA & C^\dagger D & C^\dagger C & C^\dagger B & C^\dagger A & C^\dagger \\ B^\dagger DC & B^\dagger DB & B^\dagger DA & B^\dagger D & B^\dagger C & B^\dagger B & B^\dagger A & B^\dagger \\ A^\dagger DC & A^\dagger DB & A^\dagger DA & A^\dagger D & A^\dagger C & A^\dagger B & A^\dagger A & A^\dagger \\ DC & DB & DA & D & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \begin{pmatrix} E_{13} & C^\dagger D^\dagger D & C^\dagger D^\dagger C & C^\dagger D^\dagger B & C^\dagger D^\dagger A & C^\dagger D^\dagger \\ E_{23} & B^\dagger D^\dagger D & B^\dagger D^\dagger C & B^\dagger D^\dagger B & B^\dagger D^\dagger A & B^\dagger D^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \\ Y &= \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_{12} \\ E_{12}^\dagger & E_2 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho_6 = \Sigma + \text{diag}(\Delta, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0),$$

where

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} A^\dagger D^\dagger D A & A^\dagger D^\dagger D & A^\dagger D^\dagger C & A^\dagger D^\dagger B & A^\dagger D^\dagger A & A^\dagger D^\dagger \\ D^\dagger D A & D^\dagger D & D^\dagger C & D^\dagger B & D^\dagger A & D^\dagger \\ C^\dagger D A & C^\dagger D & C^\dagger C & C^\dagger B & C^\dagger A & C^\dagger \\ B^\dagger D A & B^\dagger D & B^\dagger C & B^\dagger B & B^\dagger A & B^\dagger \\ A^\dagger D A & A^\dagger D & A^\dagger C & A^\dagger B & A^\dagger A & A^\dagger \\ D A & D & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Delta = E_3 - A^\dagger D^\dagger D A, \quad (10)$$

$\text{diag}(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m)$ denotes a diagonal block matrix with blocks $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m$. ρ can then be written in the following partitioned matrix form:

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} Y & X \\ X^\dagger & \rho_6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

As Σ possesses the following 5N kernel vectors:

$$\begin{aligned} &(\langle f|, 0, 0, 0, 0, -\langle f|A^\dagger D^\dagger)^T, & (0, \langle g|, 0, 0, 0, -\langle g|D^\dagger)^T, \\ &(0, 0, \langle h|, 0, 0, -\langle h|C^\dagger)^T, & (0, 0, 0, \langle i|, 0, -\langle i|B^\dagger)^T, \\ &(0, 0, 0, 0, \langle j|, -\langle j|A^\dagger)^T, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for arbitrary $|f\rangle, |g\rangle, |h\rangle, |i\rangle, |j\rangle \in \mathcal{C}_C^N$, so the kernel $K(\Sigma)$ has at least dimension $5N$. On the other hand $r(\Sigma) + k(\Sigma) = 6N$, therefore $r(\Sigma) \leq N$. While the range of Σ has at least dimension N due to the identity entry on the diagonal. Hence we have $r(\Sigma) = N$.

Taking into account that $r(\rho_6) \leq r(\rho) = N$, it is easy to see that $r(\rho_6) = N$. As the rank of ρ_6 is N , by making the following elementary row transformations on the matrix ρ_6 ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -A^\dagger D^\dagger \\ 0 & I & 0 & 0 & 0 & -D^\dagger \\ 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 & -C^\dagger \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & -B^\dagger \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & -A^\dagger \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \rho_6 = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ D A & D & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

we deduce that $\Delta = 0$, i.e., $E_3 = A^\dagger D^\dagger D A$.

Similarly, $|02\rangle|f\rangle - |13\rangle D A|f\rangle$ is also in the kernel for all $|f\rangle \in \mathcal{C}_C^N$, from which we have

$E_{13} = C^\dagger D^\dagger DA$, $E_{23} = B^\dagger D^\dagger DA$ and the matrix ρ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} E_1 & E_{12} & C^\dagger D^\dagger DA & C^\dagger D^\dagger D & C^\dagger D^\dagger C & C^\dagger D^\dagger B & C^\dagger D^\dagger A & C^\dagger D^\dagger \\ E_{12}^\dagger & E_2 & B^\dagger D^\dagger DA & B^\dagger D^\dagger D & B^\dagger D^\dagger C & B^\dagger D^\dagger B & B^\dagger D^\dagger A & B^\dagger D^\dagger \\ A^\dagger D^\dagger DC & A^\dagger D^\dagger DB & A^\dagger D^\dagger DA & A^\dagger D^\dagger D & A^\dagger D^\dagger C & A^\dagger D^\dagger B & A^\dagger D^\dagger A & A^\dagger D^\dagger \\ D^\dagger DC & D^\dagger DB & D^\dagger DA & D^\dagger D & D^\dagger C & D^\dagger B & D^\dagger A & D^\dagger \\ C^\dagger DC & C^\dagger DB & C^\dagger DA & C^\dagger D & C^\dagger C & C^\dagger B & C^\dagger A & C^\dagger \\ B^\dagger DC & B^\dagger DB & B^\dagger DA & B^\dagger D & B^\dagger C & B^\dagger B & B^\dagger A & B^\dagger \\ A^\dagger DC & A^\dagger DB & A^\dagger DA & A^\dagger D & A^\dagger C & A^\dagger B & A^\dagger A & A^\dagger \\ DC & DB & DA & D & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, using the same method as for E_3 and E_{23} respectively, we can derive $E_2 = B^\dagger D^\dagger DB$, $E_{12} = C^\dagger D^\dagger DB$, $E_1 = C^\dagger D^\dagger DC$. ρ then is the following form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C^\dagger D^\dagger DC & C^\dagger D^\dagger DB & C^\dagger D^\dagger DA & C^\dagger D^\dagger D & C^\dagger D^\dagger C & C^\dagger D^\dagger B & C^\dagger D^\dagger A & C^\dagger D^\dagger \\ B^\dagger D^\dagger DC & B^\dagger D^\dagger DB & B^\dagger D^\dagger DA & B^\dagger D^\dagger D & B^\dagger D^\dagger C & B^\dagger D^\dagger B & B^\dagger D^\dagger A & B^\dagger D^\dagger \\ A^\dagger D^\dagger DC & A^\dagger D^\dagger DB & A^\dagger D^\dagger DA & A^\dagger D^\dagger D & A^\dagger D^\dagger C & A^\dagger D^\dagger B & A^\dagger D^\dagger A & A^\dagger D^\dagger \\ D^\dagger DC & D^\dagger DB & D^\dagger DA & D^\dagger D & D^\dagger C & D^\dagger B & D^\dagger A & D^\dagger \\ C^\dagger DC & C^\dagger DB & C^\dagger DA & C^\dagger D & C^\dagger C & C^\dagger B & C^\dagger A & C^\dagger \\ B^\dagger DC & B^\dagger DB & B^\dagger DA & B^\dagger D & B^\dagger C & B^\dagger B & B^\dagger A & B^\dagger \\ A^\dagger DC & A^\dagger DB & A^\dagger DA & A^\dagger D & A^\dagger C & A^\dagger B & A^\dagger A & A^\dagger \\ DC & DB & DA & D & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= [DC \ DB \ DA \ D \ C \ B \ A \ I]^\dagger [DC \ DB \ DA \ D \ C \ B \ A \ I].$$

The commutative relations $[A, D] = [B, D] = [C, D] = [A, D^\dagger] = [B, D^\dagger] = [C, D^\dagger] = 0$ follow from the positivity of all partial transpositions of ρ . We first consider:

$$\rho^{t_B} = \begin{pmatrix} C^\dagger D^\dagger DC & B^\dagger D^\dagger DC & A^\dagger D^\dagger DC & D^\dagger DC & C^\dagger D^\dagger C & B^\dagger D^\dagger C & A^\dagger D^\dagger C & D^\dagger C \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger DB & B^\dagger D^\dagger DB & A^\dagger D^\dagger DB & D^\dagger DB & C^\dagger D^\dagger B & B^\dagger D^\dagger B & A^\dagger D^\dagger B & D^\dagger B \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger DA & B^\dagger D^\dagger DA & A^\dagger D^\dagger DA & D^\dagger DA & C^\dagger D^\dagger A & B^\dagger D^\dagger A & A^\dagger D^\dagger A & D^\dagger A \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger D & B^\dagger D^\dagger D & A^\dagger D^\dagger D & D^\dagger D & C^\dagger D^\dagger & B^\dagger D^\dagger & A^\dagger D^\dagger & D^\dagger \\ C^\dagger DC & B^\dagger DC & A^\dagger DC & DC & C^\dagger C & B^\dagger C & A^\dagger C & C \\ C^\dagger DB & B^\dagger DB & A^\dagger DB & DB & C^\dagger B & B^\dagger B & A^\dagger B & B \\ C^\dagger DA & B^\dagger DA & A^\dagger DA & DA & C^\dagger A & B^\dagger A & A^\dagger A & A \\ C^\dagger D & B^\dagger D & A^\dagger D & D & C^\dagger & B^\dagger & A^\dagger & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

Due to the positivity, the matrix ρ^{t_B} must possess the kernel vector $|03\rangle|h\rangle - |13\rangle D|h\rangle$, which implies that $[A, D] = [B, D] = [C, D] = 0$. The matrix ρ^{t_B} can be then written as:

$$\rho^{t_B} = \begin{pmatrix} D^\dagger C \\ D^\dagger B \\ D^\dagger A \\ D^\dagger \\ C \\ B \\ A \\ I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C^\dagger D & B^\dagger D & A^\dagger D & D & C^\dagger & B^\dagger & A^\dagger & I \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies automatically the positivity.

From the positivity of ρ^{tAB} ,

$$\rho^{tAB} = \begin{pmatrix} C^\dagger D^\dagger DC & B^\dagger D^\dagger DC & A^\dagger D^\dagger DC & D^\dagger DC & C^\dagger DC & B^\dagger DC & A^\dagger DC & DC \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger DB & B^\dagger D^\dagger DB & A^\dagger D^\dagger DB & D^\dagger DB & C^\dagger DB & B^\dagger DB & A^\dagger DB & DB \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger DA & B^\dagger D^\dagger DA & A^\dagger D^\dagger DA & D^\dagger DA & C^\dagger DA & B^\dagger DA & A^\dagger DA & DA \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger D & B^\dagger D^\dagger D & A^\dagger D^\dagger D & D^\dagger D & C^\dagger D & B^\dagger D & A^\dagger D & D \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger C & B^\dagger D^\dagger C & A^\dagger D^\dagger C & D^\dagger C & C^\dagger C & B^\dagger C & A^\dagger C & C \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger B & B^\dagger D^\dagger B & A^\dagger D^\dagger B & D^\dagger B & C^\dagger B & B^\dagger B & A^\dagger B & B \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger A & B^\dagger D^\dagger A & A^\dagger D^\dagger A & D^\dagger A & C^\dagger A & B^\dagger A & A^\dagger A & A \\ C^\dagger D^\dagger & B^\dagger D^\dagger & A^\dagger D^\dagger & D^\dagger & C^\dagger & B^\dagger & A^\dagger & I \end{pmatrix},$$

we have that $|03\rangle|h\rangle - |13\rangle D^\dagger|h\rangle$ is a kernel vector, which results in $[A, D^\dagger] = [B, D^\dagger] = [C, D^\dagger] = 0$. ρ^{tAB} is then of the form:

$$\rho^{tAB} = \begin{pmatrix} DC \\ DB \\ DA \\ D \\ C \\ B \\ A \\ I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C^\dagger D^\dagger & B^\dagger D^\dagger & A^\dagger D^\dagger & D^\dagger & C^\dagger & B^\dagger & A^\dagger & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

This form assures positive definiteness, and concludes the proof of the Lemma. $\square$

Using Lemma 1 we can prove the following Theorem:

Theorem 1. A PPT-state ρ in $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^4 \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$ with $r(\rho) = N$ is separable if there exists a product vector $|e_A, f_B\rangle$ of $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^4$ such that $r(\langle e_A, f_B | \rho | e_A, f_B \rangle) = N$.

Proof. According to the Lemma 1 the PPT state ρ can be written as

$$\rho = \begin{pmatrix} C^\dagger D^\dagger \\ B^\dagger D^\dagger \\ A^\dagger D^\dagger \\ D^\dagger \\ C^\dagger \\ B^\dagger \\ A^\dagger \\ I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} DC & DB & DA & D & C & B & A & I \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since all $A, A^\dagger, B, B^\dagger, C, C^\dagger, D$ and $D^\dagger$ commute, they have common eigenvectors $|f_n\rangle$. Let

a_n, b_n, c_n and d_n be the corresponding eigenvalues of A, B, C and D respectively. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_n | \rho | f_n \rangle &= \begin{pmatrix} c_n^* d_n^* \\ b_n^* d_n^* \\ a_n^* d_n^* \\ d_n^* \\ c_n^* \\ b_n^* \\ a_n^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_n c_n & d_n b_n & d_n a_n & d_n & c_n & b_n & a_n & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} d_n^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} c_n^* \\ b_n^* \\ a_n^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] (d_n - 1) \otimes (c_n, b_n, a_n, 1) = |e_A, f_B\rangle \langle e_A, f_B|. \end{aligned}$$

We can thus write ρ as

$$\rho = \sum_{n=1}^N |\psi_n\rangle \langle \psi_n| \otimes |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n| \otimes |f_n\rangle \langle f_n|,$$

where

$$|\psi_n\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} d_n^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |\phi_n\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} c_n^* \\ b_n^* \\ a_n^* \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the local transformations are reversible, we can apply the inverse transformations and obtain a decomposition of the initial state ρ in a sum of projectors onto the product vectors. Therefore ρ is separable. $\square$

The above approach can be extended to the higher dimensional case $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^M \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$. Similar to Lemma 1, it is straightforward to prove the following conclusion:

Lemma 2. Every PPT state ρ in $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^M \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$ such that $r(\langle 1_A, M-1_B | \rho | 1_A, M-1_B \rangle) = r(\rho) = N$, can be transformed into the following canonical form by using a reversible local operation:

$$\rho = \sqrt{F} [DA_{M-1} \cdots DA_1 \ D \ A_{M-1} \cdots A_1 \ I]^\dagger [DA_{M-1} \cdots DA_1 \ D \ A_{M-1} \cdots A_1 \ I] \sqrt{F},$$

where $A_1, \dots, A_{M-1}, D, F$ and the identity I are $N \times N$ matrices acting on $\mathcal{C}_C^N$ and satisfy the following relations: $[A_i, A_j^\dagger] = [A_i, A_j] = [D, D^\dagger] = [D, A_i] = [D, A_i^\dagger] = 0$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, M-1$ and $F = F^\dagger$. Set $T = (D \ I) \otimes (A_{M-1} \ A_{M-2} \ \cdots \ A_1 \ I)$, we have

$$\rho = \sqrt{F} T^\dagger T \sqrt{F} \tag{14}$$

Extending Theorem 1 to higher dimensional cases $\mathcal{C}_A^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}_B^M \otimes \mathcal{C}_C^N$, we have:

Theorem 2. A PPT-state ρ in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^M \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ with $r(\rho) = N$ is separable if there exists a product vector $|e_A, f_B\rangle$ such that $r(\langle e_A, f_B | \rho | e_A, f_B \rangle) = N$.

A separable state is always PPT. But a PPT state in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^M \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ is not generally separable. Our canonical form of PPT states in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^M \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ composite quantum systems provides a way to investigate the separability of such states and the structures of bound entangled states, as all entangled PPT states are bound entangled states.

References

- [1] See, for example, D.P. DiVincenzo, *Science* **270**, 255 (1995).
- [2] C.H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W.K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993).
S. Albeverio and S.M. Fei, *Phys. Lett. A* **276**(2000)8-11.
G.M. D'Ariano, P.Lo Presti, M.F. Sacchi, *Phys. Lett. A* **272** (2000), 32.
S. Albeverio and S.M. Fei and W.L. Yang, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **38** 301-304 (2002); *Phys. Rev. A* **66** 012301 (2002).
- [3] C.H. Bennett and S.J. Wiesner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2881 (1992).
- [4] A. Ekert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 661 (1991).
D. Deutsch, A. Ekert, P. Rozsa, C. Macchiavello, S. Popescu and A. Sanpera, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 2818 (1996).
C.A. Fuchs, N. Gisin, R.B. Griffiths, C-S. Niu, and A. Peres, *Phys. Rev. A*, **56**, 1163 (1997).
- [5] V. Vedral, M. B. Plenio, M. A. Rippin and P. L. Knight: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2275 (1997).
V. Vedral and M. Plenio: *Phys. Rev. A* **57**, 1619 (1998).
V. Vedral, M.B. Plenio, K. Jacobs, and P.L. Knight, *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 4452 (1997).
- [6] C.H. Bennett, H.J. Bernstein, S. Popescu, and B. Schumacher, *Phys. Rev. A* **53**, 2046 (1996).
- [7] A. Peres, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76** 1413 (1996).
K. Życzkowski and P. Horodecki, *Phys. Rev. A* **58**, 883 (1998).
- [8] B. Schumacher and M.D. Westmoreland, *Relative entropy in quantum information theory*, quant-ph/0004045.
K. Audenaert, B. De Moor, K.G. H. Vollbrecht and R.F. Werner *Phys. Rev. A* **66**, 032310 (2002).
K. Audenaert, J. Eisert, E. Jane, M.B. Plenio, S. Virmani and B. De Moor, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 217902.

- [9] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters: Phys. Rev. A 54, 3824(1996).
- [10] S. Hill and W.K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 5022 (1997).
W.K. Wootters, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 2245 (1998).
- [11] B.M. Terhal, and K.G.H. Vollbrecht, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2625 (2000).
- [12] S.M. Fei, J. Jost, X.Q. Li-Jost and G.F. Wang, Phys. Lett. A 310(2003)333-338.
- [13] A. Peres, “Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods”, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1995).
- [14] R. F. Werner: Phys. Rev. A 40, 4277 (1989).
S. Popescu: Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 797 (1994).
S. Popescu: Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2619 (1995).
M. Lewenstein, D. Bruss, J.I. Cirac, B. Kraus, M. Kus, J. Samsonowicz, A. Sanpera and R. Tarrach, J. Mod. Opt. 47 (2000) 2841.
B.M. Terhal, Theor. Comput. Sci. 287 (2002) 313.
M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Springer Tracts in Mod. Phys. 173 (2001) 151.
- [15] J.S. Bell, Physics (N.Y.) 1 (1964) 195.
- [16] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 223 (1996) 1.
- [17] M. Horodecki and P. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 59 (1999) 4206.
- [18] N.J. Cerf, C. Adami and R.M. Gingrich, Phys. Rev. A 60 (1999) 898.
- [19] M.A. Nielsen and J. Kempe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 5184.
- [20] B. Terhal, Phys. Lett. A 271 (2000) 319.
M. Lewenstein, B. Kraus, J.I. Cirac and P. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 62 (2000) 052310.
- [21] A.C. Doherty, P.A. Parrilo and F.M. Spedalieri, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 187904.
- [22] O. Rudolph, *Further results on the cross norm criterion for separability*, quant-ph/0202121.
K. Chen and L.A. Wu, Quant. Inform. Comp. 3 (2003) 193-202.
- [23] K. Chen and L.A. Wu, Phys. Lett. A 306 (2002) 14.
- [24] S. Albeverio, K. Chen and S.M. Fei, *Generalized reduction criterion for separability of quantum states*, SFB-preprint, 2002.

- [25] P. Horodecki, M. Lewenstein, G. Vidal and I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 62 (2000) 032310.
P. Horodecki, J.A. Smolin, B.M. Terhal and A.V. Thapliyal, Theor. Comp. Sci. 292 (2003)589-596.
S. Albeverio, S.M. Fei and D. Goswami, *Phys. Lett. A*, 91-96(2001).
S.M. Fei, X.H. Gao, X.H. Wang, Z.X. Wang and K. Wu, *Phys. Lett. A* **300** (2002)559-566.
S.M. Fei, X.H. Gao, X.H. Wang, Z.X. Wang and K. Wu, Int. J. Quant. Inform., **1**(2003)37-49.
- [26] S. Karnas and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. A 64, 042313 (2001).
S.M. Fei, X.H. Gao, X.H. Wang, Z.X. Wang and K. Wu, Phys. Rev. A **68**(2003)022315.
- [27] S.M. Fei, X.H. Gao, X.H. Wang, Z.X. Wang and K. Wu, *Canonical Form and Separability of PPT States in $\mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{C}^N$ composite quantum systems*, to appear in Commun. Theor. Phys. (2003).